

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 DANIEL L. LUCAS,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-06-1160 DFL GGH P

12 vs.

13 SACRAMENTO COUNTY
14 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,

15 Defendants.

ORDER

16 _____/
17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
18 seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
19 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

20 On September 5, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
21 herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections
22 to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff has not filed
23 objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and
25 recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility
26 to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(f),

1 service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

2 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
3 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
4 ORDERED that:

5 1. The findings and recommendations filed September 5, 2006, are adopted in
6 full; and

7 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice.

8 DATED: 02/27/2007

9
10 /s/ David F. Levi
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12 /luca1160.802
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26